

Accountability Stakeholder's Group
April 11, 2007

In attendance: Barb Boltjes, Ted Hanson, Deb Lucas, Dan Rounds, Tim Neyhart, Deb Muilenberg-Wilson, Lyn Heidensen, Tracy Christensen, Linda Shirley, Rita Pettigrew, Deb Zebill, Rhonda Zinter, Mary Borgman, Sherron Grote, Donna Huber, Chris Sargent, Bruce Willer, Ann Larsen, Sally Hollow Horn, Debra Willert, Ray Tracy, Penny McCormick-Gilles, Angie Boddicker, Melissa Flor, Wayne Ball

Outcomes:

- Review current monitoring system
- Understand current educational realities that impact accountability
- Begin to develop a system based on the needs of SD and IDEA requirements

Wayne Ball was facilitator for the meeting, discussions included:

- General Supervision overview
- Why states monitor
- What do we monitor
- Have programs for students with disabilities improved
- How to monitor in states

Current SD monitoring system was discussed, what is working, and what parts are not working.

New monitoring system:

Data collected from State Performance Plan will help determine which schools will be monitored. Other reasons could include: child count verification, training attendance by districts.

Monitoring could include one or more of the following: desk audit, self assessment, file reviews, onsite visit, technical assistance, random picks

Volunteers to help with data when the group meets to review Indicator results and determine cut scores for which schools will be monitored: Mary B., Penny M-G, Lyn H., Tracy C., Deb M-W, Deb L., Ted H., all SEP staff.